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Abstract:

Woody plant encroachment (WPE) into grasslands has been occurring globally and may be accelerated by climate change in the future. This land cover change is expected to alter the carbon and water cycles, but it remains uncertain how and to what extent the carbon and water cycles may
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change with WPE into grasslands under current climate. In this study, we examined the difference of vegetation indices (VIs), evapotranspiration (ET), gross primary production (GPP), and solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) during 2000-2010 between grasslands and juniper encroached grasslands. We also quantitatively assessed the changes of GPP and ET for grasslands with different proportions of juniper encroachment (JWPE). Our results suggested that JWPE increased the GPP, ET, greenness-related VIs, and SIF of grasslands. Mean annual GPP and ET were respectively ~55% and ~45% higher when grasslands were completely converted into juniper forests under contemporary climate during 2000-2010. The enhancement of annual GPP and ET for grasslands with JWPE varied over years ranging from about +20% GPP (~+30% for ET) in the wettest year (2007) to about twice as much GPP (~+55% for ET) in the severe drought year (2006) relative to grasslands without encroachment. Additionally, the differences in GPP and ET showed significant seasonal dynamics. During the peak growing season (May to August), GPP and ET for grasslands with JWPE were ~30% and ~40% higher on average. This analysis provided insights into how and to what degree carbon and water cycles were impacted by JWPE, which is vital to understanding how JWPE and ecological succession will affect the regional and global carbon and water budgets in the future.

1 Introduction

Grasslands and savannas constitute approximately 50% of the terrestrial land cover (Archer, 2010, Bailey, 1998). They account for about 30% of terrestrial gross primary productivity (GPP) and play a critical role in global carbon and water cycles (Archer, 2010, Beer et al., 2010, Poulter et al., 2014). Over the past century, woody plants have been observed worldwide to be increasing in abundance and density in grasslands and savannas, a process termed "woody plant encroachment" (WPE) (Archer et al., 1994, Archer, 2010, Scott et al., 2009). Ecological succession of grasslands to woodlands may alter ecosystem structure and function and can threaten ecosystem services (Msanne et al., 2017, Petrie et al., 2015). For example, an increase of woody plants in grasslands has been reported to alter animal habitats (Coppedge et al., 2004) and reduce biological diversity (Ratajczak et al., 2012, van Els et al., 2010), forage, and livestock production (Anadon et al., 2014). The shift of a herbaceous ecosystem to a woody ecosystem has affected carbon (McCulley & Jackson, 2012, O'Donnell & Caylor, 2012), water (Liu et al., 2016, Scott et al., 2006, Zou et al., 2016), nutrient (Liao et al., 2008, McCulley et al., 2004), and biogeochemical cycles (Hibbard et al., 2001, Hibbard et al., 2003). In terms of the consequences of WPE on carbon and water cycles of grassland ecosystems, robust generalizations have not yet been made (Scott et al., 2014).

Semi-arid ecosystems significantly influence the patterns of global carbon cycle (Poulter et al., 2014). Analyses on the U.S. carbon budget during the 1980s suggested that WPE across non-forest ecosystems may contribute to much of the net terrestrial carbon sink with an estimated range of ~23% to ~40% (Houghton et al., 1999, Pacala et al., 2001). However, this component of the carbon cycle has one of the largest uncertainties in the North American and global carbon budgets (Barger et al., 2011, Houghton, 2007). In recent decades, studies have been extensively conducted to examine the consequences of WPE on carbon pools and fluxes, including aboveground and belowground net primary production (ANPP, BNPP), aboveground biomass, and soil carbon pools using site observations, process-based models, and land use change models (Archer et al., 2001,
Barger et al., 2011, Hughes et al., 2006, Jackson et al., 2002, Knapp et al., 2008, McCulley & Jackson, 2012, O’Donnell & Caylor, 2012). For example, some studies suggested that shrub or tree encroachment into mesic grasslands resulted in higher ANPP (Hughes et al., 2006, Knapp et al., 2008) and higher aboveground biomass (Asner et al., 2003, Asner & Martin, 2004, McKinley & Blair, 2008). Eddy covariance (EC) flux measurements of woody encroachment at arid and semiarid grasslands of New Mexico and Arizona showed increased net ecosystem exchange of CO₂ (NEE) meaning enhanced carbon sequestration (Petrie et al., 2015, Scott et al., 2006). NEE can be partitioned into two components: gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER) (Beer et al., 2010). GPP is the amount of carbon dioxide fixed by vegetation, which is a critical component in the estimate of carbon sequestration (Damm et al., 2010, Gitelson et al., 2006, Lamberti & Steinman, 1997). To date, studies on the effects of WPE on GPP are restricted to field sites and are inadequate for understanding how WPE alters GPP in grasslands at broad landscape scales.

The effects of WPE on the water cycle have been considered a major concern because WPE can alter stream flow, groundwater recharge, and water yield (Archer, 2010). One of the major findings was that the effect of WPE on stream flow depend on the traits of woody plants and environment conditionals (e.g., climate, soil, geomorphology) (Archer, 2010, Huxman et al., 2005). Field experiments on water use of Juniperus virginiana (eastern redcedar) encroachment into a mesic prairie of central Oklahoma, USA, found that juniper encroachment reduced soil water content, stream flow, and groundwater recharge (Caterina et al., 2014, Zou et al., 2014). Evapotranspiration (ET) is a key eco-hydrological process linking water, energy, and carbon cycles (Jung et al., 2010). ET was observed to decrease by an average of 0.12mm/d (~7% of daily ET) over three years following the removal of Ashe juniper (Juniperus ashei) compared to plots without juniper removal in an experiment conducted in northeastern Texas, USA (Dugas et al., 1998). Scott et al. (2006) compared ET of a riparian grassland, shrubland, and woodland in southeastern Arizona, USA, over one year using eddy flux measurements and found higher ET in areas with woody plant abundance. These site-level studies found a consistent increase of ET after WPE resultant of deep-rooted woody species replacing shallow-rooted herbaceous vegetation. However, the magnitude of increased ET due to WPE has not been quantified at landscape scale (Archer, 2010, Wine & Hendrickx, 2013).

Previous studies that explored the consequences of WPE on the carbon and water cycles were constrained to the plot or site scale and lasted for a short period (ranging from days to few years). Thus, there is a need for analyses at larger spatial and temporal scales. The availability of carbon and water flux products developed using remote sensing data allows us to examine changes in ecosystem function and vegetation over continuous spatial scales covering multiple consecutive years. This study aims to quantify the difference in GPP and ET for grasslands with juniper encroachment (JWPE) and grasslands without JWPE under semi-arid and sub-humid climate in Oklahoma, USA. The specific objectives are to: (1) examine the differences in GPP, ET, VIs, and SIF between grasslands with and without JWPE; (2) estimate the change ratios of GPP and ET by establishing a relationship between the differences of GPP and ET and the encroachment proportions of JWPE. We used GPP and ET products developed with Moderate-Resolution Imaging
Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data and juniper forest encroachment maps generated from analyses of Landsat images during the period of 2000-2010. Vegetation indices (VIs) and solar-induced fluorescence (SIF) were used as proxies of production to further understand the effects of WPE in grasslands on the carbon and water cycles. This study was implemented state-wide for the grasslands in Oklahoma, USA, which were widely encroached by juniper species in last several decades (Engle et al., 1996).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

Grasslands in Oklahoma (33.4°N ~ 37.1°N, 94°W ~ 103.2°W), USA, were selected as a study area because they have experienced and are undergoing extensive juniper encroachment (Juniperus spp.) (JWPE), which is an evergreen tree species (Wang et al., 2018). Eastern redcedar (Juniperus virginiana) is the primary encroaching species, followed by Ashe juniper (J. ashei). Other juniper species (J. pinchotii, J. monosperma, and J. scopulorum) only occur sporadically (Engle et al., 1996). From east to west, the grasslands of Oklahoma consist of tallgrass, mixed-grass, and shortgrass prairie. The grasslands are distributed on various soil types with elevations from ~100-m to ~1500-m above sea level. Grasslands in Oklahoma experience a temperate continental climate, with annual mean air temperature ranging from 13 °C to 17 °C from north to south and an annual mean precipitation gradient from ~1100-mm to ~400-mm from east to west. The grasslands experience highly variable annual precipitation in contrast to the annual mean air temperature (Fig. S1).

2.2 Data

2.2.1 Land cover maps

We used the juniper forest maps for Oklahoma during 1984-2010 at 30-m spatial resolution, which were generated using a pixel- and phenology-based algorithm and PALSAR and Landsat images (Wang et al., 2017, Wang et al., 2018). These products were reported for five periods from the 1980s to 2000s including 1984-1989, 1990-1994, 1995-1999, 2000-2004, and 2005-2010 (Wang et al., 2018). They have an overall accuracy of about 96% and depict the historical distribution of juniper forests in Oklahoma (Wang et al., 2017, Wang et al., 2018). In this study, we used the juniper forest maps for the latest two periods: the early 2000s (2000-2004) and the late 2000s (2005-2010) to match the timeline of MODIS-based datasets. Then, a juniper forest map for 2000-2010 was generated by combining the maps of 2000-2004 and 2005-2010. These 30-m juniper forest maps of 2000-2004, 2005-2010, and 2000-2010 were binary (juniper forest or non-juniper forest) and were aggregated to 500-m (Fig. 1, Fig. S2a) and 0.5° (Fig. S2c) spatial resolution, with the value of each pixel representing the percentage of juniper forest within that pixel. The aggregated juniper forest maps were then used for statistical analysis with 500-m GPP (VPM) (see 2.2.2), MODIS-based ET, vegetation indices (see 2.2.3, 2.2.4), and solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (0.5°, see 2.2.5) data. We also used the 25-m PALSAR/Landsat-based forest map in 2010 generated by Qin et al.
(2016) to describe the spatial distributions of non-juniper forests over the study area. In addition, this study used the MODIS land cover data (Collection 6) with 500-m spatial resolution (MCD12Q1) to document the annual dynamics of the grasslands in the study area from 2000-2010. We used the annual grassland layers and generated grassland maps in three study periods of 2000-2004, 2005-2010, and 2000-2010 by selecting the pixels with persistent grassland cover. The 500-m pure grassland maps were also resampled to 0.5° by calculating percent grasslands within each pixel to match SIF data.

Figure 1. 500-m juniper forest encroachment maps for (a) 2000-2004 and (b) 2005-2010; (c) the frequency histogram of juniper forest cover.

2.2.2 Gross Primary Production data

We used the 8-day GPP product simulated by the Vegetation Photosynthesis Model (VPM) for the period 2001-2010, which had a spatial resolution of 500-m (Zhang et al., 2016, Zhang et al., 2017). The VPM is a light use efficiency model driven by remote sensing observations and climate data (Xiao et al., 2004). The GPP estimates from the VPM simulations have been validated for grasslands (GRA) (Doughty et al., 2018, Wagle et al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2016, Zhang et al., 2017, Zhou et al., 2017), woody savannas (WSA) (Wang et al., 2016, Zhang et al., 2016, Zhang et al., 2017), and savannas (SAV) (Jin et al., 2013, Zhang et al., 2017) using eddy flux tower GPP (GPP\text{EC}) data from around the globe (Table S1). A global accuracy assessment showed the R² and root-mean-square error (RMSE) of GPPvpm for GRA, WSA, and SAV were 0.79, 0.80, 0.70, and 1.2, 0.76, 0.7 gC/m²/day, respectively. In Oklahoma, the R² and RMSE for GRA from the previous publications were 0.89 and 1.3 gC/m²/day on average (Doughty et al., 2018, Wagle et al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2016, Zhou et al., 2017). The assessment of GPPvpm on the mesquite juniper woody savanna (US-FR2) in Texas, USA, showed a R² and RMSE of 0.73 and 1.13 gC/m²/day using three year (2004-2006) 8-day data (Zhang et al., 2016). Overall, GPPvpm had consistent performance regardless of biome type when compared to GPP\text{EC}.

2.2.3 Evapotranspiration data

We used the latest MODIS 8-day (MOD16A2, V06) and yearly (MOD16A3, V06) ET products with 500-m spatial resolution during 2001-2010. MOD16 ET is estimated using the Penman-Monteith equation, which considers the total evaporation of wet soil and canopy and plant transpiration (Mu et al., 2013, Mu et al., 2011). The MODIS ET product has been widely used to analyze water flux over a variety of regions (Peng et al., 2014, Spera et al., 2016). This study used the pixels with good observations as described by the quality layer. The average mean absolute biases (MAE) of daily ET for GRA and WSA were reported as 0.28 and 0.19 relative to AmeriFlux tower measurements (Mu et al., 2013, Running et al., 2017) (Table S2). Velpuri et al. (2013) comprehensively evaluated the MODIS ET data over the contiguous United States using FLUXNET tower data from 2001-2007 for various land cover types. The R² and RMSE of MODIS ET in GRA and WSA were reported as 0.41,
0.71, and 0.93, 0.63 mm/day, respectively (Table S2). In addition, we evaluated the performance of MODIS ET product for juniper cover by using the juniper mesquite WSA site (US-FR2, Texas, USA) (Heinsch et al., 2004). The mean R², RMSE, and MAE for juniper WSA were 0.78, 0.46 mm/day, and 0.38 mm/day (Fig. S3).

2.2.4 Vegetation index data

Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) (Tucker, 1979), derived from optical remote sensing, is an indicator of vegetation greenness. In this study, we used the NDVI calculated from MODIS surface reflectance products (MOD09A1) with 500-m spatial resolution to delineate the canopy greenness of grasslands with and without JWPE.

2.2.5 Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) data

Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) is emitted by plants simultaneously with photosynthesis. The satellite-based retrieval of SIF has created opportunities to remotely monitor photosynthesis and its dynamics at large spatial-temporal scales (Joiner et al., 2013, Zhang et al., 2018). Several studies have highlighted the strong relationship between SIF and GPP (Gunter et al., 2014, Jeong et al., 2017, Joiner et al., 2014, Yang et al., 2015). The SIF data products derived from NASA’s Global Ozone Monitoring Instrument-2 (GOME-2) carried onboard the MetOP-A (launched in October 2006) and MetOP-B (launched in September 2012) satellites are available beginning in 2007 (https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/People/Joiner/my_gifs/GOME_F/GOME-F.htm). This study used the Level 3 monthly gridded average data (GOME2_F version27) from 2007-2010 with a spatial resolution of 0.5° to examine the potential physiological differences between grasslands with and without JWPE.

2.2.6 Climate data

We used a short-scale (six months), monthly Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) with a 0.5° spatial resolution to document drought conditions for 2001-2010. SPEI is a climatic water balance-based drought index that considers the effects of precipitation and temperature variations on drought assessment, having a multi-scale character (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). SPEI was reported to perform well in capturing drought impacts on agriculture, ecology, and hydrology in a global assessment (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2012). This index has been widely used to examine the frequency, severity, and spatial-temporal changes of drought and other climatic characteristics (Paulo et al., 2012, Yu et al., 2014).
2.3 Statistical analysis on the effects of juniper encroachment in grasslands

2.3.1 Pair-wise comparison between pure grasslands and juniper encroached grasslands

To quantitatively understand the impacts of JWPE on grasslands in NDVI, ET, GPP, and SIF, we used the pairwise comparison approach with pure grassland (PG) pixels and juniper encroached grassland (JEG) pixels, which necessitates that the PG and JEG pixels be adjacent. PG pixels were those with 100% coverage of grasslands and JEG pixels were those with a certain percentage of juniper forests at any given pixel spatial resolution (e.g. 500-m, 0.5°). To match the spatial resolutions of the other datasets, the PG and JEG pixels were selected at two spatial resolutions, 500-m and 0.5° (~56-km), following these rules: (1) the samples of JEG should have relatively significant juniper forest cover; (2) the samples of adjacent PG should be covered by pure grasslands; and (3) the total vegetation cover between JEG pixels and adjacent PG pixels should be consistent. The adjacent PG pixels were selected from the neighborhood area of individual JEG pixels. The neighborhood window size was determined by considering two factors: (1) that enough PG pixels within the neighborhoods could be acquired, and (2) that the window size reduces the influences of spatial heterogeneity on the results. Therefore, at 500-m spatial resolution, we used two sample windows of 11 × 11 (~5 × 5-km) and 21 × 21 (~10 × 10-km) pixels. For the coarser resolution of 0.5°, we only used a sample window of 3 × 3 pixels. Not all of the JEG pixels had adjacent PG pixels to constitute pairs for comparison, so this analysis was conducted by selecting the JEG pixels which have at least 10% adjacent PG pixels within the neighborhood windows of 3 × 3 (>=1 PG), 11 × 11 (>=12 PG), and 21 × 21 (>=44 PG) pixels.

During the selection of JEG pixels for constituting paired-samples, we used juniper forest encroachment maps and the MODIS grassland maps at 500-m and 0.5°. At 500-m spatial resolution, the juniper forest encroachment maps were percentage of cover and grassland maps were binary (grassland or non-grassland). There is a chance of selecting JEG pixels only composed of juniper forests and grasslands without the cover of non-juniper forests at 500-m. To exclude the pixels with sparse JWPE, we used the JEG pixels having at least 10% juniper forest cover for both periods (2000-2004 and 2005-2010). This process also used the MODIS land cover maps during 2000-2010 and the PALSAR forest map in 2010 to control the sample quality. At 0.5° spatial resolution, there were no pure grassland pixels and the percentage of juniper forests within each pixel was lower than 10% (Fig. S2). Here, we only used the pixels with high vegetation coverage (>90%) instead and then selected the pixels as JEG samples that mainly consist of juniper forests and grasslands with juniper forest coverage higher than 2%. The corresponding adjacent grassland samples also had at least 90% grassland cover. The difference of total vegetation coverage between JEG and adjacent PG samples was within 5% at 0.5°. Finally, we respectively obtained 1,715 and 1 JEG samples at spatial resolutions of 500-m and 0.5° for the period of 2000-2010 (Fig. S2b,d). Using these samples, we compared the annual mean GPP and ET and the seasonal dynamics of NDVI and SIF for grasslands with and without WPE.

2.3.2 The effects of JWPE on GPP and ET
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The JEG pixels had various magnitudes of JWPE, which can be measured with juniper forest coverage. These magnitudes were not considered during the pairwise comparison analysis described in section 2.3.1. The 500-m JWPE maps resampled from the 30-m products did not include any pure juniper forest pixels for either 2000-2004 or 2005-2010. Thus, the analysis was limited to the assessment of the differences in GPP and ET between pure juniper forest pixels and adjacent pure grassland pixels. Therefore, we created a conceptual framework (Fig. S4) to quantify the differences in GPP and ET by combining the differences in GPP and ET with the proportion of JWPE within each pixel. Conceptually, the GPP and ET of a JEG pixel (GPP$_{JEG}$, ET$_{JEG}$) was composed of the flux of grasses (GPP$_{grass}$, ET$_{grass}$) and juniper forests (GPP$_{JF}$, ET$_{JF}$) within that pixel (Eq.1 and Eq.2).

\[
GPP_{JEG} = \alpha \times GPP_{JF} + (1 - \alpha) \times GPP_{grass} \quad (1)
\]

\[
ET_{JEG} = \alpha \times ET_{JF} + (1 - \alpha) \times ET_{grass} \quad (2)
\]

Where $GPP_{JEG}$ and $ET_{JEG}$ denoted the actual GPP and ET of a given JEG pixel which can be obtained from the GPP and ET products directly. $\alpha$ was the fraction of juniper forests in the given JEG pixel. $GPP_{JF}$ and $ET_{JF}$ denoted the potential GPP and ET of the given JEG pixel under pure cover of juniper forests. $(1-\alpha)$ referred to the fraction of grasslands. $GPP_{grass}$ and $ET_{grass}$ were the potential GPP and ET of the given JEG pixel under pure cover of grasslands. In this model, we assumed that $GPP_{JEG}$ (or ET$_{JEG}$) was a linear combination of $GPP_{JF}$ (or ET$_{JF}$) and $GPP_{grass}$ (or ET$_{grass}$) without considering the interactions between juniper forests and grasslands.

During the implementation of the model, we selected the 500-m JEG pixels for two periods of 2000-2004 and 2005-2010 using the sampling method described in Section 2.3.1 (Fig. S5). We used Eqs. 1 and 2 at the individual pixel level for the two study periods to quantify the difference in GPP and ET for pixels with different ratios of grassland and juniper forest. Mathematically, Eq. 1 or Eq. 2 can be transformed to Eq. 3, Eq. 4, and Eq. 5.

\[
GPP_{JEG} - GPP_{grass} = \alpha \times (GPP_{JF} - GPP_{grass}) \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{GPP_{JEG} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}} = \frac{\alpha \times (GPP_{JF} - GPP_{grass})}{GPP_{grass}} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\frac{GPP_{JF} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}} = \frac{GPP_{JEG} - GPP_{grass}}{\alpha} \quad (5)
\]

The left-side term of $\frac{GPP_{JF} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}}$ in Eq. 5 quantifies the change in GPP when the grasslands in the given pixel were completely (100%) converted to juniper forests. We used the term change ratio ($\theta_{GPP}$) to describe the expected change in GPP (Eq. 6).

\[
\theta_{GPP} = \frac{GPP_{JF} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}} \quad (6)
\]

Following Eq. 5, the left-side term can be estimated as the slope of a linear regression between the right-side terms of $\frac{GPP_{JEG} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}}$ and $\alpha$ based on all the selected pixels. Actually, $\frac{GPP_{JEG} - GPP_{grass}}{GPP_{grass}}$
represented the change ratio of GPP when the grasslands in a given pixel were partially encroached by juniper forests with a proportion of $\alpha$. Here, $\text{GPP}_{\text{JEG}}$ can be obtained directly from GPP or ET products for each individual pixel. $\alpha$ was the corresponding coverage of juniper forests, which can be obtained from the juniper forest maps. We could not directly get the GPP of grassland ($\text{GPP}_{\text{grass}}$) within a pixel with juniper forest encroachment. Instead, we took the mean GPP of all adjacent PG pixels ($\text{GPP}_{\text{PGs}}$) within a neighborhood. In this study, we used neighborhoods with two sample windows of $11 \times 11$ pixels (~5-km) and $21 \times 21$ pixels (~10-km) at 500-m spatial resolution (see Section 2.3.1). Thus, the change ratio of GPP, $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$, was assessed with the slope of a linear regression ($\frac{\text{GPP}_{\text{JEG}}-\text{GPP}_{\text{grass}}}{\text{GPP}_{\text{grass}}}$~$\alpha$) based on the selected JEG pixels and their adjacent PG pixels.

ET was analyzed using the same processes as GPP. Thus, the change ratio of ET ($\theta_{\text{ET}}$) can be expressed as Eq. 7, which can be estimated by a linear regression as described above.

$$\theta_{\text{ET}} = \frac{\text{ET}_{\text{JF}}-\text{ET}_{\text{grass}}}{\text{ET}_{\text{grass}}} \quad (7)$$

The results of $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ assessed based on the $11 \times 11$ sample window and the results using the $21 \times 21$ sample window were shown in Support Information. This analysis on $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ was conducted at interannual and seasonal time scales during two periods of 2000-2004 and 2005-2010. In these two periods, $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ were analyzed using the same model proposed in this study but different analysis samples due to different juniper forest and pure grassland maps. In the model, we used adjacent PG pixels as control for comparison to exclude the effects of environment factors on the changes of GPP and ET. $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ were the change ratios of GPP and ET with grasslands entirely converted to juniper forests. We assumed that $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ were primarily driven by vegetation change, so we expected consistent or similar $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ calculated from the samples in different periods.

3 Results

3.1 Pair-wise comparisons of GPP, ET, NDVI and SIF between JEG and PG

We compared mean annual GPP, ET, and the seasonal dynamics of NDVI and SIF of JEG and PG (Fig. 2) pixels based on the samples shown in Fig. S2. The higher GPP and ET values of JEG pixels suggested that JWPE increased annual GPP and ET of the grassland ecosystem (Fig. 2a,b). The increases in GPP and ET varies between years. We found consistent patterns between JEG and PG in the seasonal dynamics of NDVI and SIF (Fig. 2c,d). Higher NDVI demonstrated that JWPE could increase the greenness of the grassland ecosystem. Greater SIF indicated that the encroaching juniper had higher photosynthetic capacity than the native grasses at the chlorophyll level.
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0.2 to 2.1 with the maximum and minimum values occurring in 2006 and 2007, respectively. \( \theta_{ET} \) varied from 0.31 to 0.59. The maximum and minimum values also occurred in the years of 2006 and 2007. As hydrological conditions have obvious interannual variations in semiarid regions, we plotted the six-month SPEI during 2001-2010 in Fig. 4a to examine the effects of water stress on \( \theta_{GPP} \) and \( \theta_{ET} \). SPEI showed that 2006 was the driest year and 2007 was the wettest year. We calculated the mean annual SPEI and fitted the relationships of \( \theta_{GPP} \) and SPEI (Fig. 4b), and \( \theta_{ET} \) and SPEI (Fig. 4c). These relationships were significant with \( p < 0.01 \). These results demonstrated that the change ratios of GPP and ET (\( \theta_{GPP} \) and \( \theta_{ET} \)) caused by JWPE would be higher in a dry climate condition (e.g., 2006) than in a wet climate condition (e.g. 2007). Our results were consistent when using the JWPE pixels selected at the neighborhood window of 21 × 21 pixels (Fig. S8).

Figure 4. (a) Interannual dynamics of the change ratios of GPP (\( \theta_{GPP} \)) and ET (\( \theta_{ET} \)) with grasslands converted into juniper forests. The corresponding linear regressions were shown in Fig. S7. Time series of Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) at six-month scale is also exhibited to show the hydrological condition of each year. (b) The relationship of \( \theta_{GPP} \) and annual mean SPEI. (c) The relationship of \( \theta_{ET} \) and annual mean SPEI. This analysis was based on the juniper encroached grassland pixels and their adjacent pure grasslands within a neighborhood window of 11×11 pixels (Fig. S5a,b).

3.4 Seasonal dynamics of the change ratios of GPP and ET

Evergreen juniper species have different phenology than grasses, which may cause the seasonal differences in GPP and ET between juniper forests and grasslands. Fig. 5a showed the seasonality of \( \theta_{GPP} \) and \( \theta_{ET} \) calculated from the mean monthly GPP and ET data during 2001-2010. The linear regressions for each month were shown in Fig. S9 and Fig. S10. The values of monthly \( \theta_{GPP} \) were higher than 1.0 during the period from the late fall (November) to the middle spring (April), and were especially high in winter. These values drop dramatically from late spring (May) to mid-fall (October), which were less than 1.0. The lowest values of about 0.2 occurred in the peak growth season of June and July. Compared with \( \theta_{GPP} \), \( \theta_{ET} \) showed a different seasonality. The lowest values, from -0.01 to 0.01, happen in winter, which demonstrated that ET from woody plants was comparable with that from grasslands during this time. \( \theta_{ET} \) had high values larger than 0.3 during the growing season from April to October. Fig. 5a,b also showed the seasonality of mean monthly GPP and ET for grasslands. In winter, grasslands had negligible GPP and very low ET (< 1 kg/m\(^2\)/d). From April to October, the photosynthesis of native grassland was above 1 g C/m\(^2\)/day. The GPP and ET of grasslands peaked in May and June with a maximum of about 6 g C/ m\(^2\)/d and 2 kg/m\(^2\)/d, respectively. Similar results were obtained using the neighborhood window of 21 × 21 pixels (Fig. S11). To explain the seasonal dynamics of \( \theta_{GPP} \) and \( \theta_{ET} \), we examined the seasonal dynamics of NDVI for juniper forests and grasslands from two samples (Fig. S12) and the mean monthly temperature and precipitation during 2001-2010 (Fig. 5c). Fig. 5c showed that the NDVI of juniper forests was higher than that of grasslands in winter and becomes comparable in peak growing season. The mean temperature in winter was above 0 °C (Fig. 5c). We also examined the average winter GPP using the flux tower measurements for one juniper woodland site (US-FR2) and three grassland sites of US-AR1, US-AR2, and US-ARc (Fig. S13). The tower-based results showed the average GPP of juniper
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woodland was \(~1.31 \text{ gC/m}^2/\text{day}\) greater than the grassland GPP of \(~0.16 \text{ gC/m}^2/\text{day}\), suggesting that juniper forests can have photosynthesis during the winter time (if environmental conditions were favorable) while grassland was dormant. This seasonal difference in photosynthetic activity produces the large change ratios of \(\theta_{\text{GPP}}\) and \(\theta_{\text{ET}}\) in winter.

Figure 5. (a,b) Seasonal dynamics of the change ratios of GPP (\(\theta_{\text{GPP}}\)) and ET (\(\theta_{\text{ET}}\)) with grasslands converted into juniper forests based on mean monthly GPP and ET during 2001-2010. The corresponding linear regressions for each month are shown in Fig. S9 and Fig. S10. Additionally, these figures show the mean monthly GPP and ET for the grasslands. The months with obvious photosynthesis (greater than 1 gC/m²/day shown by the dash line in Fig. 5a) in grasslands are shown in shadows. (c) The seasonal dynamics of NDVI at two samples of juniper forests and pure grasslands extracted from 500-m MODIS data. The landscapes for the samples are shown in Fig. S12 using Google Earth images. This figure also shows the mean monthly temperature and precipitation over the period of 2000-2010. This analysis was based on the juniper encroached grassland pixels and their adjacent pure grasslands within a neighborhood window of 11×11 pixels (Fig. S5a,b).

4 Discussion

4.1 Estimates of the change ratios of GPP and ET

Understanding how alterations in ecosystem species composition affects biogeochemical cycles provides insights into predicting the climate in the future (Chapin et al., 1997). This study established a model based on an assumption of total vegetation conversion from grasslands to juniper forest, which allowed for quantitatively assessing the changes of GPP and ET caused by JWPE under contemporary environmental conditions during 2000 - 2010. The challenge of this method was to acquire the GPP and ET values for each individual pixel before JWPE. Therefore, we used the mean GPP and ET obtained from adjacent, PG pixels to approximate what GPP and ET would have been for each individual JEG pixel before JWPE. A small neighborhood size would not provide an adequate number of PG pixels for analysis and a large neighborhood may be influenced by spatial heterogeneity, so this study used two sample window sizes, 11×11 and 21×21 pixels. We found that the results from 21×21 neighborhood window (Fig. S6, Fig. S8 and Fig. S11) were consistent with the results obtained from 11×11 window (Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). This approach of using grid cells to select adjacent biomes has been widely used to study the ecological consequences of land cover change (Ma et al., 2017, Peng et al., 2014). Additionally, this study used the MODIS-based GPP and ET products. Some uncertainties in the estimates of the change ratios of GPP (\(\theta_{\text{GPP}}\)) and ET (\(\theta_{\text{ET}}\)) could be caused by the performance of these products. The uncertainty analysis in previous publications and this work suggested that both datasets have reasonable accuracy for application in the GRA and juniper WSA biomes of Oklahoma, USA (Table S1, Table S2, and Fig. S3). Here we used the juniper WSA site (US-FR2) in Texas, USA, as an alternative way to evaluate the uncertainty of GPPvpm and MODIS ET products for juniper WSA in our study area. It was practical because this site was in the same Köppen-Geiger climate zone as Oklahoma (Peel et al., 2007) and it has similar annual precipitation and annual mean temperature. From this accuracy assessment and the results from previous studies (Mildrexler et al., 2016, Peng et al., 2014, Zhang et al., 2016), we used the datasets with confidence for our analyses.
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The results suggested that had all grasslands been converted to juniper forest due to JWPE, annual GPP and ET would have been ~54% and ~44% higher during 2000-2010, respectively (Fig. 3, Fig. S6). Although direct estimates of GPP and ET dynamics of mesic tall- and mixed-grasslands caused by JWPE based on satellite observations are few, some similar studies have been conducted using flux tower observations or field measurements to survey the impacts of woody encroachment (Dugas \textit{et al.}, 1998, Jenerette \textit{et al.}, 2009, Petrie \textit{et al.}, 2015, Scott \textit{et al.}, 2006). Qiao \textit{et al.} (2015) reported that eastern redcedar encroached grasslands, on average, had 100-mm higher annual ET than the pure grasslands in Oklahoma by using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT model) and experimental measurements during 2011-2013. Scott \textit{et al.} (2006) found that ET increased with the density of woodland by eddy covariance observations in riparian woodland and grassland communities in southern Arizona. Jenerette \textit{et al.} (2009) examined three years of data from the same sites and found that the woodland site had higher GPP than did the grassland site. A longer-term study using five years of flux tower measurements in southern Arizona also found that the riparian woodlands had much greater gross carbon uptake (GEP) and ET than adjacent grasslands (Scott \textit{et al.}, 2014). According to the flux tower data around riparian sites from 2003-2007, mean annual GEP and mean annual ET of the woodland site were 34% and 26% higher than those of the grassland site (Scott \textit{et al.}, 2014). In this previous study, the woodland site was dominated by mesquite with 70% canopy cover. The results of our study showed higher values of GPP and ET due to encroachment, but we focused on grasslands that had been entirely encroached (100%) by juniper. In addition, previous studies found that the grassland site with WPE had a higher water use efficiency (WUE) than the nearby grassland site, especially at seasonal and annual timescales, in a native tall-grass prairie in Kansas, USA (Brunsell \textit{et al.}, 2014, Logan & Brunsell, 2015). Our results showed that the change ratios of annual GPP (~54%) is higher than that of annual ET (~44%) when juniper forests replaced the grasslands entirely (Fig. 3), which corroborated previous findings of enhanced WUE by WPE. However, further direct measurements and analysis are needed to better understand how WUE changes due to WPE. These analyses showed our results are very similar to those reported by site-specific studies on woody plant encroachment.

### 4.2 Interpretations for annual and seasonal dynamics of the change ratios of GPP and ET

This study indicated that in dry conditions (2006), the difference in GPP and ET between pure grasslands and those with JWPE was greater than in pluvial conditions (2007) (Fig. 4). Many studies have reported that decreases in carbon sequestration of grasslands was associated with decreased precipitation (Bowling \textit{et al.}, 2010, Schwalm \textit{et al.}, 2010). In dry years, many grasslands have been found to shift from being a carbon sink to a carbon source (Aires \textit{et al.}, 2008, Petrie \textit{et al.}, 2015), even though the WPE grasslands may have this switch when the encroaching species cannot use additional water resources (Scott \textit{et al.}, 2015). Woody plants have deeper roots than grasses and can access deep soil moisture and even ground water (Archer \textit{et al.}, 2001, Ratajczak \textit{et al.}, 2011, Wang \textit{et al.}, 2016). For example, a study on a riparian woodland suggested the groundwater access increased the net ecosystem production (NEP) and ET in comparison with the surrounding upland areas (Scott \textit{et al.}, 2014). According to these results, we may expect significant increases in GPP and ET with WPE when the additional water resources of deep soil water or groundwater are available for trees compared to that of the grasses with limited access to deeper layer soil moisture. Encroaching
woody plants are expected to have a lower sensitivity to precipitation variability and a higher resistance and resilience to drought than grasses (Logan & Brunsell, 2015, Scott et al., 2014). Our results support this statement, because GPP and ET for juniper encroached grasslands were greater than those of pure grasslands (Fig. 2). During the dry year, the GPP and ET of JEG and PG fell but the reduction of GPP and ET was higher for PG than JEG (Fig. 2). This difference resulted in larger change ratios of GPP and ET (Fig. 4). On the other hand, in the pluvial year of 2007, the increases of GPP and ET in PG were similar to or greater than those in JEG (Fig. 2), which resulted in smaller differences of GPP and ET between JEG and PG (Fig. 4). Thus, the change ratios of GPP and ET were low in the pluvial condition than those of drought condition. Eastern redcedar is a species tolerant to drought (Msanne et al., 2017) and can maintain photosynthesis at low water potentials (Eggemeyer et al., 2006, Willson et al., 2008). Under low water availability, eastern redcedar can access the deep soil water and their roots can reach a depth of up to 7 m (Msanne et al., 2017). These traits enable eastern redcedar to successfully encroach arid and semi-arid grasslands (Msanne et al., 2017). The ability of juniper trees to access water resources deeper in the soil profile than grasses could potentially explain the different responses of GPP and ET ($\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ET}}$) in the dry and pluvial year of 2006 and 2007. Some similar results were reported in previous studies. Petrie et al. (2015) found that drier than average climate conditions facilitated increases in terrestrial carbon sequestration caused by the vegetation conversion from grasslands to shrublands. Logan and Brunsell (2015) reported carbon flux at a woody site was double or more than triple that of a grassland site during wet years, while the difference became remarkably large under drought conditions.

Our study shows different seasonal dynamics of the change ratios of GPP ($\theta_{\text{GPP}}$) and ET ($\theta_{\text{ET}}$) with maximum $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ in winter and maximum $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ in growing season (Fig. 5). Despite low temperature and precipitation in winter, the GPP of juniper forest was much higher (about tenfold) relative to the grassland ecosystem during this period (Fig. 5). Juniper species are evergreen and have higher NDVI than grasslands in winter (Fig. 2c, Fig. 5c). Thus, juniper trees can continue to photosynthesize during winter if temperatures and water are favorable while grasslands are dormant (Fig. S13). During the growing season, especially from May to September, the difference in NDVI between grasslands and juniper forests was relatively negligible. Likewise, the low $\theta_{\text{GPP}}$ (from 0.22 to 0.42) in this period signals that both grasslands and juniper forest are highly productive. In winter, $\theta_{\text{ET}}$ was around zero (Fig. 5), which indicated that ecological succession of grasslands to juniper forests would not significantly increase ET during this period. However, in the growing season this vegetation conversion would produce a ~30%-50% increase in ET (Fig. 5, Fig. S10). It is consistent with an early study on a riparian region, which showed woodlands had ~57% higher ET than grasslands during the growing season (Scott et al., 2006). As deep rooted trees can acquire deep soil moisture or groundwater and grasslands depend on precipitation or top soil moisture, the ability of trees to acquire water deeper in the soil profile likely supports the higher ET observed in grasslands with WPE (Scott et al., 2006).
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